

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/060,121 01/31/2002		Robert P. Benjey	01-ASD-224 (GT)	5887	
200	7590	08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
EATON CO		ATION	RIVELL, JOHN A		
EATON CE		ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN		•	3753		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/060,121	BENJEY, ROBERT P.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Rivell	3753	

		1 0.00							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparison time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	•	•	er is later. In no						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	·	D WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta	and the corresponding amount of the fee	. The appropriate extension	n fee under 37						
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			• •						
NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead to the second se	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 		· —	because						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	·						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separat	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		_ · ·	•						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the co	•	• •	•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by arguments not consistent with precedent relied on.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	r 10(s)							
13. Other:	(. 10/02/00 011 10-1440) Tupe	John Rivel							
		John Rivell Primary Examiner	J						
•	•	Art Unit: 3753							